QUESTION NO 6

By Councillor Rose for answer by the Leader of the Council at a meeting of the Council on 31 May 2018

In April 2014 a parent of a child requested assessment by the City of Edinburgh Council (CEC) for a co-ordinated support plan (CSP) in terms of Section 2 of the Education (Additional Support for Learning) (Scotland) Act 2004. Subsequent proceedings were raised in respect of a failure to provide a CSP, and the tribunal issued a direction to Edinburgh Council to produce a CSP no later than 6th January 2016. On that date the Council issued a finalised CSP. A second tribunal hearing found the CSP inadequate and that CEC had discriminated against the child in terms of Section 85(2)(a) of the Equality Act 2010.

Question

Question

(1) After failing to provide a CSP as requested, why was the CSP instructed by the tribunal produced at the last possible minute and how was it that an inadequate CSP was produced?

Answer (1)

(2) When it did eventually produce a CSP what caused CEC to produce an inadequate CSP?

Answer (2)

Question (3) Why was the legal advice given on behalf of the Council found to be unsuccessful on three occasions?

Answer (3)

Question (4) How much has it cost to defend the Council's position unsuccessfully on these three occasions? Please include internal and external costs.

Answer (4)

Question (5) How is it proposed to review the apparent failing within the Education Department?

Answer (5)

Question

(6) How is it proposed to review the quality of the legal advice followed by CEC?

Answer

(6)

Question

(7) Is there a strategy document which guides the circumstances when CEC defends claims made against it? If so, how does it weigh principled considerations? If not, what principles does CEC follow?

Answer

(7)